

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/448,276	11/24/1999	YUN BOK LEE	8733.20024 4858		
30827 75	590 02/27/2004		EXAMINER		
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			RUDE, TIMOTHY L		
1900 K STREE WASHINGTON	,		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•		2871		
			2071		

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/448,276	LEE ET AL.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Timothy L Rude	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application in the same of the sa	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) \boxtimes they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · · 	eparate, timely filed	d amendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-38.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 20031124 & 20040108.					
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		_		
		tir			

.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues structure illustrations in Yamada Figures that are known to be incorrect. Examiner acknowledges errors in Figures 10A and 10B of Yamada per Final Rejection pages 14 and 15. However, it is well known in the art of liquid crystals that neighboring pixel electrodes formed on the same layer must be separated by a region where the pixel electrodes are not formed (gaps) in order to prevent electrical shorting of said neighboring pixel electrodes. Such requisite regions (gaps) are not illustrated in Figures 10A and 10B of Yamada, but they are known to exist as needed to comprise a functional display. Said regions (gaps) of Yamada are under the dielectric frames of Yamada and do read on the claimed invention.

TOANTON PRIMARY EXAMINER